

PRESENTER



Paul David QC, Eldon Chambers, Auckland

Paul is an experienced leading counsel. While his work now generally centres on commercial cases, Paul maintains a broad practice. He has a career-long specialist practice in maritime and trade law where the interpretation of contracts and the application of the principles of contract law are central to the work. For more information see www.pauldavid.co.nz

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. FORMATION OF CONTRACT	3
<i>TOWER INSURANCE LIMITED V QUSOL NZ LIMITED – FORMATION AND INTERPRETATION – HEADS OF AGREEMENT.....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>Court of Appeal.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Comment</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>WELLS V DEVANI – FORMATION OF CONTRACT BY ORAL DEALINGS AND CONDUCT – UK SUPREME COURT</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Facts.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Grounds for refusal to pay commission.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>County Court.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Court of Appeal.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Supreme Court.....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Comment</i>	<i>7</i>
3. INTERPRETATION AND IMPLIED TERMS.....	9
<i>NEW ZEALAND APPROACH</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>THE MALTHOUSE LIMITED V RANGATIRA LIMITED.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Correcting wrong interpretation of a clear provision.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Facts.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>High Court</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Court of Appeal.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Comment</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>WETA ESTATE LIMITED V SAVVY VINEYARDS – INTERPRETING AN OPTION</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Earlier proceedings</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Latest dispute</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Interpretation of agreement</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>High Court</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Court of Appeal.....</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Interpretation of the option.....</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Leave to Appeal decision</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Comment</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>INTERPRETATION – WHAT IS THE CURRENT POSITION?</i>	<i>17</i>
4. RECTIFICATION	19
<i>THE POLICY BEHIND RECTIFICATION AND THE RIGHT APPROACH</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>RECTIFICATION NOT AVAILABLE IN PARTICULAR CONTEXT</i>	<i>21</i>
5. IMPLYING TERMS.....	23
<i>AIR NEW ZEALAND LIMITED V BP OIL LIMITED AND Z ENERGY – FORMATION OF CONTRACT AND IMPLIED TERMS</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Facts.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Issues.....</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>Comment</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>EXPRESS AND IMPLIED TERMS OF GOOD FAITH IN CONTRACT, CONTRACTUAL DISCRETIONS.....</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>CONTRACTUAL DISCRETIONS</i>	<i>26</i>
6. VARIATIONS – NO ORAL AGREEMENT CLAUSES.....	27
<i>COMMENT</i>	<i>29</i>
7. MISREPRESENTATION	31
<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>THE INTEREST SWAP CASES</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Background.....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Sale of products – Commerce Commission</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>Bank's terms and conditions</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>Cygnet Farms.....</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>Bushline Trustees Ltd v ANZ Bank New Zealand Ltd.....</i>	<i>35</i>

FAIR TRADING ACT 1986 – s 46H TO 46M – UNFAIR CONTRACT TERMS REGIME	38
8. THE LAW OF PENALTIES.....	41
COURT OF APPEAL.....	42
PENALTY ISSUE.....	42
COMMENT.....	42
9. DAMAGES	45
<i>CLASSIC MARITIME INC V LIMBUNGAN MAKMUR SDN BHD – BREACH OF COA – NOMINAL OR SIGNIFICANT DAMAGES?</i>	<i>45</i>
<i>High Court</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Court of Appeal</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Construction point.....</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>Damages.....</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>Comment</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>ONE STEP (SUPPORT) LTD V GARNIER – LIMITING NEGOTIATION DAMAGES ON PRINCIPLE.....</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>Supreme Court</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>Result.....</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>Comment</i>	<i>49</i>
10. LOOKING TO THE FUTURE – DIGITISATION – FORMING AND INTERPRETING SMART CONTRACTS	51
11. CONCLUDING COMMENTS	53